

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/04213			Date of mailing (day/month/year) 17 JUL 2006 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/IL05/00142		International filing date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)		Priority date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61H 1/00 and US Cl.: 601/5					
Applicant REABILITY INC.					

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 12 June 2006 (12.06.2006)	Authorized officer Michael Brown Telephone No. 571-272-4972
--	---	---

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00142

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/IL05/00142

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 *bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-50</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1-14</u>	YES
	Claims <u>15-50</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-50</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 15-50 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Jourtras. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the exercising apparatus and techniques disclosed by Jourtras could be used to perform the method steps recited in the claims. The apparatus disclosed by Jourtras includes that structural limitations of the apparatus claims recited in the claims.

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of exercising using an actuator at different locations to perform a first exercise at one location and the same exercise at a second location, wherein the first exercise and the second exercise use the same movement mechanism design for moving the actuator.

Claims 1-50 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.